ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING ADOPTION OF RECOMMENDED ON-FARM PRODUCTION PRACTICES AMONG ONION FARMERS IN DAMBATTA, KANO STATE, NIGERIA

*Godfrey C. Onuwa¹, Sunday S. Mailumo², Bello Usman², Christopher Nwadike³ and Anayib Mudi⁴

¹Department of Agricultural Extension and Management, Federal College of Forestry, Jos, Nigeria.

²Federal College of Forestry Mechanization, Afaka, Kaduna State, Nigeria.

³Department of Agricultural Technology, Federal College of Forestry, Jos, Nigeria.

⁴Department of Crop Production Technology, Federal College of Forestry, Jos, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author (onuwag@gmail.com; 08035606473)

Abstract

Low farm output continues to persist among smallholder farmers, attributable to several factors including poor and limited adoption of modern production practices and inputs. Therefore, this study analyzed the factors affecting adoption Recommended On-Farm Production Practices (ROPPs) among onion farmers in Dambatta, Kano State, Nigeria. The study employed a multistage technique to select a sample of 100 respondents. Descriptive statistics, adoption index and Binary Logit regression model analysis were used to analyze data collected via questionnaires. The study shows respondent's demographic factors significant determinants of adoption of recommended production practices. Moreover, the majority (72%) of the farmers exhibited low adoption index (<0.4). Furthermore, the coefficient of determination (R²) for the regression model was 0.748; indicating that approximately 75% of the variation in the adoption index was attributable to factors in the econometric analysis. Additionally, constraints reported by respondents significantly affected their index of adoption of ROPPs in the area. Subsidizing the cost of agricultural inputs, facilitating access to production practices and technologies, agricultural credit, capital and specialized agro-services; adopting strategies to enhance farm income, technical support and infrastructural facilities, cooperative formation, labor and land tenure adjustments are proposed to mitigate the constraints of adoption.

Keywords: Adoption index, constraints, determinants, on-farm production practices, onion farmers

Introduction

Presenting further developed inputs and agrarian practices are essentials for expanded production specifically and agricultural improvement overall. Onion (*Allium cepa L.*) is accepted to have started in the Middle East, including Iran, Afghanistan, and Pakistan. It is likewise produced in sub Saharan Africa as both food and cash crop (Mailumo and Onuwa, 2022; Nisar *et al.*, 2011). Universally, more than 37 million tons of onions yearly are cultivated on 7,000,000 acres of agricultural in around 170 nations including China, India, USA, Turkey, Pakistan, Iran, Indonesia, Vietnam and Myanmar

(Mailumo and Onuwa, 2022; Ojo et al., 2009). Onion (Allium cepa L.) is derived from the family Liliaceae: hence, it is likewise a vegetable crop (Kudi et al., 2008). In Nigeria, onion cultivation is predominant in the northern zone, most particularly in dry tropical areas; explicitly in Kaduna, Kano, Jigawa, Katsina, Sokoto, Kebbi, Level and Bauchi States (Mailumo Onuwa, 2022). These ecological zones containing flood inclined fields, rivers and streams irrigated farmlands; provides conducive conditions for the improvement of this crop in these areas (FAOSTAT, 2022). Onions well-drained humus and alluvial soils with high natural matter substance, fit for holding dampness during the dry season (Nisar et al., 2011; Ojo et al., 2009). Commercial onion cultivation in Nigeria was predominantly by smallholder Fadama farmers especially under irrigation systems in dry seasons (Mailumo and Onuwa, 2022). It is a significant source of revenue for the smallholder farmers. A few elements impact the variety in farmer's reception choices for new developments and practices in crop (Alamanio and Onuwa. Recognizing and understanding these variables will give important and huge data to strategy definition (Alamanjo and Onuwa, 2023). Evaluation of improved and current cultivation practices and advancements improves the probability of adopting agricultural innovations, that meet smallholder farmer's particular necessities and provides solutions to the challenges encountered in cultivation (FAO, 2021; Mailumo and Onuwa, 2017). The ROPPs ought to either improve or substitute the farmer's ongoing choices; hence, distinguishing these practices and understanding farmer's insights will be exceptionally relevant.

Notwithstanding years of onion cultivation in Nigeria, low yields are common (15ton/ha) contrasted with expected yields of 70ton/ha in different areas (FAOSTAT, 2022). This can be owing to smallholders' low take-up of advanced production innovation (GAIN, 2020; NAERLS and FDAE, 2014). Furthermore, several suggested practices or innovations have been developed by Research institutes in Nigeria (FAO, 2016; Bawa and Ani, 2014; and Komolafe *et al.*, 2010). The accessible ROPPs in the area include (i) improved onion varieties; (ii) Spacing 15 cm x 20 cm,

including 15 cm x 15 cm to 20 cm x 20 cm; (iii) Planting methods (seeds or seedlings); (iv) Weed control: (v) Transplanting (mid-June November/December) (vi) Fertilizer application (20-25 tons/ha of farm yard manure (FYM) or 300 kg/ha NKP 15-15-15); (vii) Pest and disease control (Toxipkan, malathion, heptactylol parathion); (viii) Harvesting (onions are harvested by hand using simple farm tools); and (ix) Storage techniques (harvested onion bulbs are stored in shelter facilities and allowed to cure for up to 2 weeks). Notwithstanding, low yields among onion farmers continue in spite of the accessibility and presentation of innovative management practices (World-Food-Prize, 2022). This study will give data on the adoption index, determinants and limitations experienced in embracing prescribed cultivation practices that improves farm efficiency and income. Also, it would help partners (private and public sectors) plan strategies and mediation programs that will work on the yield, remuneration and welfare of the farmers participating in onion cultivation. In view of the previous, this study enumerates the elements influencing reception of ROPPs among smallholder farmers and explicitly depicted the respondents' socioeconomic factors; evaluates the index of adoption of ROPPs; determines factors influencing the adoption of ROPPs; and recognizes the barriers to adoption of ROPPs.

Adoption of Agricultural Practices and Innovations among Smallholder Farmers

Mailumo and Onuwa (2022), in their study on onion production systems in Nigeria: reported that the socio-economic attributes of the farm households significant determinants of adopting agricultural innovations that improve farm output. Smallholder farmer's demographic factors such as age, gender, household size, educational level, household income, extension contact, etc. had a positive correlation to adoption (Onuwa et al., 2023). However, other variables like political and environmental factors strongly influence the potential of local participation in agricultural interventions and programs among respondents (GAIN, 2020). Onuwa and Adedire (2023) stated that the most critical factors affecting farmer's adoption behavior are their socio-economic variables. Onuwa et al. (2022) also posited that the inadequate technical capacity, input costs, inadequate input supply, limited access to improved technology and practices are the critical factors of adoption of agricultural innovations. Afolabi (2008) stated that income was a major indicator and determinant that influenced farmer's adoption decisions in Fadama farming. Sabo and Dia (2009), observed the level of awareness and poor information dissemination on the effectiveness of vegetable technology affected the level of adoption. Onuwa (2021), observed a significant correlation between farmers socioeconomic characteristics and the adoption of agricultural innovations. Furthermore, Adesope et al. (2012) reported that farmers' marital status and farming experience were negatively correlated to adoption of improved farming systems. Onuwa and Folorunsho (2022) reported a low adoption index for improved agricultural innovations smallholder fames. Also, Onuwa et al. (2021) reported a low adoption index for soybean technology among smallholder farmers in Nigeria. Moderate levels of agricultural technology adoption have been observed among smallholder farmers (FAOSTAT, 2022). Thus, several factors affect smallholder farmer's adoption decisions agricultural practices and innovations (e.g. control, crop rotation, agro chemical application, organic farming, irrigation practices, etc.); with the primary purpose of improving farm productivity and income (FAO, 2021; GAIN, 2020; and FAO, 2016).

Research Hypothesis

 H_{o} : There is no correlation between farmer's demographic factors and the adoption index for the ROPPs.

Methodology Study Area

This study was conducted in Dambatta, Kano State, Nigeria. Dambatta Local Government Area (LGA) has coordinates of 12°25′N latitude and 8°35′E longitude, with a land area of 2732 km² (NBS 2022). The average temperature and precipitation were 26.8 °C and 700 mm, respectively (Wikipedia, 2022). Most of the LGA's residents are smallholder farmers, whose proximity to the Oasis Irrigation Project supports the production of arable crops (cereals and vegetables) and livestock (non-ruminants and poultry) (NBS 2021).

Sampling Technique

Multi-stage techniques were utilized in choosing respondents for the study. Dambatta LGA was purposively chosen in the primary stage. In the second stage, four districts (Dambatta yamma, Dambatta Gabas, Ajumawa and Gwarabjawa) out of ten (10) were methodically chosen because of the great presence of onion farmers in these districts. In the last stage, respondents were randomly chosen from a sample frame of 1,182 onion farmers compiled by the Agricultural Development Project (ADP) department at the LGA secretariat in collaboration with agricultural extension agents; consequently, at consistent sampling proportion of 9% (0.09) a sample size of 100 onion farmers was derived and validated with sample size calculator (raosoft) at confidence level of 90% and margin error of 10%.

Method of Data Collection

Well-structured questionnaires were used to collect primary data for the study.

Analytical Techniques

Descriptive statistics (percentages, frequency counts and means), adoption index and Binary Logit regression analysis were used in analyzing data collected.

Index of Adoption

The index of adoption of ROPP was computed for each onion farmer following Mailumo, S.S. and Onuwa, G.C. (2022); thus, the index of adoption (B_i) is presented in Equation (1) as follows:

$$B_i = \sum (R_i/R_T) \dots (1)$$

Where: B_i = adoption index of ROPPs by i_{th} farmer; R_i = adopted ROPPs by i_{th} farmer; and R_T = number of ROPPs available to the i_{th} farmer; and i = (1.....n).

For this study, an index of <0.4 represents low adoption and index of >0.55 represents high adoption. The recommended on-farm production practices ROPPs include (i) improved onion varieties; (ii) Spacing 15 cm x 20 cm, including 15 cm x 15 cm to 20 cm x 20 cm; (iii) Planting methods (seeds or seedlings); (iv) Weed control; (v) Transplanting (mid-June or November/December) (vi) Fertilizer application (20-25 tons/ha of farm yard manure (FYM) or 300 kg/ha NKP 15-15-15); (vii) Pest and disease control (Toxipkan, malathion, heptactylol dieldrin, parathion); (viii) Harvesting (onions are harvested by hand using simple farm tools); and (ix) Storage techniques (harvested onion bulbs are stored in shelter facilities and allowed to cure for up to 2 weeks).

Binary Logit Regression Model

The determinants of the adoption index for ROPPs were analyzed using Logit regression model; it specifies the relationship between the index of adoption and the explanatory variables that have an impact on this index (Gujarat, 2004). The model is expressed implicitly as follows in Equation (2):

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_i X_{ij} + U_i \dots (2)$$

Where: Y_i = binary variable such that; Y=1 (high adoption index) and Y = 0 (low adoption index); B_0 = intercept; Bi= coefficient of the estimated parameters; Xij= Set of endogenous factors; and U_i = error term. However, the binary logit regression model was specified explicitly in Equation (3):

 $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + \beta_7 X_7 + U_1 \dots (3)$

Where: Y_i = binary variable such that; Y=1 (high adoption index) and Y=0 (low adoption index); B_0 = intercept; β_i (B_1-B_7) = vector of the estimated parameters or unknown coefficients (regression coefficient); X_i = vector of the predictors (endogenous factors). The endogenous factors include; X_1 = gender (male=1; female=0); X_2 = family size (population); X_3 = farming experience

(years); X_4 = level of education (years spent in school); X_5 =access to credit (yes=1, no=0); X_6 = extension contact (yes=1, no=0); X_7 = cooperative membership (yes=1, no=0); and U_i = error term.

Results and Discussion

Respondents Demographic Factors

Table 1 shows that majority (82%) of the farmers is between 30-59 years old; and the mean age was 34 years. This suggests that onion cultivation was prevalent across different age brackets in the study area. Most of the onion farmers can participate adequately in farming activities. They are also more receptive to modern cultivation practices and technology than their older counterparts. Furthermore, this is the economically active age bracket for farmers. This conforms to Onuwa et al. (2023) who also posited similar outcomes for farmer's socioeconomic characteristics. Also, it corroborates with Onuwa et al. (2021) who posited similar results on farmer's demography and adoption of farm innovations adoption. Also, 87% are men and 13% were women. This proportion suggests a large gender disparity among respondents hence a predominant population of male participants in this agricultural activity. Production of onion in the male-dominated tropics is because of peculiarities of the most commonly used cultivation systems. Similarly, the low female participation rate may be due to socio-cultural factors in the area under study. Traditionally, the male gender expends more energy in strenuous activities than women. The religious perspective is that females are usually not allowed to participate in certain farm activities, but rather play their primary roles as housewives especially in Northern Nigeria. This is consistent with the results of Onuwa (2021). It also conforms to Onuwa and Folorunsho (2022) who posited a similar outcome on farmer's demography. Further, most of the respondents were married; hence, marital status is a factor affecting family size, as well as a proxy for the family labor required to carry out agricultural activities. This suggests that the married respondents engage in agricultural production as a livelihood activity and also to provide food for their households. respondents have more household population; that facilitates increased adoption of farming techniques to improve their income and standard of living. Onuwa (2021) also reported that beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries agricultural development programs were married. Also, Onuwa et al. (2022) reported similar results on farmer's demography and agricultural technology

Average family size was 10.3 people; majority (52%) had family size comprising ≤ 9 people; which imply availability of labour supply from household members for agricultural activities. This conforms to Onuwa *et al.* (2023) who reported that large household sizes provide and contributes to labour

supply for agriculture and other household activities. This finding corroborates with Adesope et al. (2012) who also reported that large household size provides labor supply used to undertake agricultural and other domestic operations. In addition, mean level of education of the respondents was 8.2 years; majority (55%) attained primary education (≤6 years); secondary education (7-12 years) (31%), while 14% attained tertiary education (≤ 13 years); suggesting that the farmers had basic understanding of the consequences of adopting the ROPPs, suggesting that majority of the respondents are literate. High literacy levels among respondents facilitate better technology adoption: knowledge and skillset development. The respondents' educational level is required to explain the observed strengths and weaknesses; management ability and adoption of modern practices and innovation. Thus, the respondents in the area are expected easily adopt new production practices and adopt technology in their agricultural activities to increase farm productivity. This corroborates with Komolafe et al. (2010), who found that highly educated farmers are willing to adopt and use new technologies. This conforms to Onuwa and Adedire (2023) which showed that literacy and educational levels facilitate uptake of agricultural innovation; the benefits of adoption of modern practices and innovation; and its consequences are easily processed by the literate farmers. Further, average farm experience was 11 years; most (51%) of the respondents have farming experience of ≤ 9 years, farming experience of 10-19 years comprised 39%; 10% have ≥20 years' experience in farming. Farming experience is an indication of ability to make informed decisions in the allocation of resources and overall farm management. The study was conducted in an agrarian community, where majority of the onion farmers had extensive experience in farming activities; which provides agricultural information and knowledge on agricultural practices and technologies that improves farm output Onuwa et al. (2021). Also, Komolafe et al. (2010) reported that several years of agricultural experience improves farm efficiency.

Moreover, most (75%) respondents had no credit access. Agricultural credit helps farmers to augment their meager farm capital for the acquisition of farm assets (technology, practices and inputs). Its availability could determine the extent of production

capacity; credit access provides the farmers with the means of expanding and improving their agricultural activities. This result therefore is an indication that most of the onion farmers lack adequate financial capacity to adopt the production technology/ practices that boost their level of farm productivity. This finding conforms to Matata et al. (2010) who also posited similar results. Also, most (81%) of the respondents had no contact extension with extension services, while 19% had extension contact. Extension contact in the study area was inadequate and in most cases unavailable, thus mitigating agricultural Extension information dissemination. enhances farmer's ability for resource use efficiency through adoption of improved innovations and recommended practices. frequency of contact with extension services improves farmer's access to technical institutional support. This corroborates with Adesope et al. (2012) who reported that poor delivery of services affected extension adoption recommended technologies among farmers; improved contact facilitates production technology adoption. In addition, most (68%) do not belong to a cooperative while those who were members of cooperatives constituted 32%. The implication is that most of the respondents have no structured medium or platform through which exchange of ideas and information diffusion production on technology/practice can be shared. Cooperative membership avails opportunities for information sharing on improved production technology and current trends in agricultural production (Onuwa and Adedire, 2023). This conforms to Maiangwa (2008) who reported that farm cooperative members derive more benefits comparative to individual farm units. Additionally, the average farm size is 1.63 ha; most respondents (66%) have farm size ≤1.9 ha, 25% scale from 2.0 to 4.9 ha and 9% scale from ≥5.0 ha. This shows that majority of the onion farmers had small farm holdings; hence, a predominance of subsistence onion cultivation in the study area. This mitigates commercial and attainment of mechanized production. Smallholdings and land fragmentation dates back to prevailing land use practices in the area. This conforms to Onuwa et al. (2022) and Ajayi et al. (2008), who posited similar results in studies on correlation of farm size and technology adoption.

Table 1: Distribution according to the Respondents Demographic Factors

Variable	Mean	Frequency	%	
Age:				
Age: ≤29		7	7	
30-59		82	82	
≥60	34.7	11	11	
Gender:				
Male		87	87	
Female		13	13	
Marital Status:				

Married 74 74 Single 26 26 Household Size: 57 57 ≤9 57 57 10-19 32 32 ≥20 10.3 11 11 Level of Education: Primary (≤6 years) 55 55 Secondary (7-12 years) 31 31 Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 14 Farming Experience: ≤9 51 51 ≤9 51 51 51 10-19 39 39 39 ≥20 11.1 10 10 10 Farm credit access: Access 25 25 25 No access 75 75 75 Extension Contact: Contact 19 19 19 No contact 81 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 8 68 68 Member 32 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 66				
Household Size: ≤9 57 10-19 32 220 10.3 11 11 Level of Education: Primary (≤6 years) Secondary (7-12 years) Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 Farming Experience: ≤9 51 10-19 39 20 11.1 10 Farm credit access: Access Access Access 75 Extension Contact: Contact Tonact Tonac	Married		74	74
≤9 57 57 10-19 32 32 ≥20 10.3 11 11 Level of Education: Primary (≤6 years) 55 55 Secondary (7-12 years) 31 31 Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 14 Farming Experience: ≤9 51 51 ≤9 51 51 10 10-19 39 39 ≥20 11.1 10 10 Farm credit access: Access 25 25 No access 25 25 No access 75 75 Extension Contact: Contact 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Single		26	26
10-19 32 32 ≥20 10.3 11 11 Level of Education: Primary (≤6 years) 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 51 14 Farming Experience: ≤9 51 51 10 19 39 39 39 220 11.1 10 10 Farm credit access: Access 25 25 25 No access 75 75 Extension Contact: Contact 19 19 19 No contact 81 81 81 Cooperative Membership: Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 ≤1.9 ha 66 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Household Size:			
≥20 10.3 11 11 Level of Education: Frimary (≤6 years) 55 55 Secondary (7-12 years) 31 31 Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 14 Farming Experience: 51 14 ≤9 51 51 10-19 39 39 ≥20 11.1 10 10 Farm credit access: 25 25 No access 75 75 Extension Contact: 75 75 Contact 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	≤9		57	57
Level of Education: Frimary (≤6 years) 55 55 Secondary (7-12 years) 31 31 Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 14 Farming Experience: \$\$\frac{51}{10-19}\$ 51 51 \$\$\frac{9}{10-19}\$ 39 39 39 \$\$\frac{20}{20}\$ 11.1 10 10 Farm credit access: 25 25 Access 25 25 No access 75 75 Extension Contact: 19 19 Contact 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: \$\frac{2}{1.9}\$ ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 66 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	10-19		32	32
Primary (≤6 years) 55 55 Secondary (7-12 years) 31 31 Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 14 Farming Experience:	≥20	10.3	11	11
Secondary (7-12 years) 31 31 Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 14 Farming Experience:	Level of Education:			
Tertiary (≥13 years) 8.2 14 14 Farming Experience: ≤9 51 51 10-19 39 39 ≥20 11.1 10 10 Farm credit access: 25 25 Access 25 25 No access 75 75 Extension Contact: 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Primary (≤6 years)		55	55
Farming Experience: ≤9 51 10-19 39 39 ≥20 11.1 10 Farm credit access: Access Access 75 Extension Contact: Contact 19 No contact 81 Cooperative Membership: Non-member Non-member 68 Member 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 2.0-4.9ha 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Secondary (7-12 years)		31	31
≤9 51 51 10-19 39 39 ≥20 11.1 10 10 Farm credit access: Access 25 25 No access 75 75 Extension Contact: 19 19 Contact 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Tertiary (≥13 years)	8.2	14	14
10-19 39 39 ≥20 11.1 10 Farm credit access: Access 25 No access 75 Extension Contact: 75 Contact 19 No contact 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 Non-member 68 Member 32 Farm Size: 32 ≤1.9 ha 66 2.0-4.9ha 66	Farming Experience:			
≥20 11.1 10 10 Farm credit access: 25 25 Access 25 75 75 Extension Contact: 75 75 Contact 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	≤9		51	51
Farm credit access: 25 25 Access 75 75 Extension Contact: 75 75 Contact 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	10-19		39	39
Access 25 25 No access 75 75 Extension Contact: 19 19 Contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	≥20	11.1	10	10
No access 75 75 Extension Contact: 19 19 Contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Farm credit access:			
Extension Contact: 19 19 Contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Access		25	25
Contact 19 19 No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: 51.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	No access		75	75
No contact 81 81 Cooperative Membership: 81 68 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Extension Contact:			
Cooperative Membership: 68 68 Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Contact		19	19
Non-member 68 68 Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 ≤1.9 ha 66 25 25 25	No contact		81	81
Member 32 32 Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 ≤0-4.9ha 25 25	Cooperative Membership:			
Farm Size: ≤1.9 ha 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Non-member		68	68
≤1.9 ha 66 66 2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Member		32	32
2.0-4.9ha 25 25	Farm Size:			
	≤1.9 ha		66	66
≥5.0ha 1.63ha 9 9	2.0-4.9ha		25	25
	≥5.0ha	1.63ha	9	9

Source: Field Survey (2020)

Adoption Index of Production Practices

Table 2 revealed that most (72%) of the onion farmers have low adoption index (<0.4); while, 28% have high index of adoption (≥0.55). In the study area, Different onion production technologies are accessible; however, low and unsatisfactory adoption index for these technologies was reported; hence, low yields across various farm units was prevalent.

In the region, poor farm output among smallholders was due to poor agricultural innovation (Alamanjo and Onuwa, 2023). Thus, identifying the factors affecting the adoption of production technologies and practices becomes very pertinent (Mailumo and Onuwa, 2022; Adesope *et al.*, 2012; and Saka and Lawal. (2009).

Table 2: Distribution according to the Index of Adoption of Production Practices

Index of adoption	Frequency	%	
Low index (<0.4)	72	72	
High index (>0.55)	28	28	

Source: Field survey (2020)

Factors affecting the Adoption of Recommended On-Farm Production Practices (ROPPs)

The regression analysis presented in Table 3 shows the determinants of adoption of ROPPs. The Log-likelihood ratio (X^2) was significant (P<0.0051); implying that the regression result significantly explains the cause and effect relationships. Additionally, the coefficient of the regression analysis (R^2) was 0.7482, suggesting that 75% variation in the index of adoption is attributable to factors in the regression model. Omitted variables and the stochastic error term explained the remaining 250%

Household size: household size coefficient (0.431) was significant and positive at 5% (p<0.05) level, implying that farm labour requirements for onion

production and particularly adoption of ROPPs was relatively supplied by household members; and as such, this factor facilitates the adoption of production technology among smallholder farmers. This corroborates with (Adesope *et al.*, 2012) who reported a similar outcome.

Farm experience: Farm experience coefficient (0.261) was significant and positive at 5% (p<0.05) level, implying that the years of experience improves farmers access to agricultural information and technology transfer. This conforms to Onuwa *et al.* (2023) and Matata *et al.* (2010) who posited similar results on adoption of modern agricultural innovations.

Education level: Education coefficient (0.524) was significant and positive at 5% (p<0.05) level,

implying that educational level of the farmer's affects agricultural technology adoption, required to enhance farm efficiency and yield. This result conforms to Onuwa *et al.* (2022) who posited a similar outcome on production technology determinants.

Farm credit access: The coefficient of farm credit access (-0.358) was significant, but negative at 5% (p<0.05) level, suggesting a reversed relationship with the probability of the farmers adopting any option of the ROPPs; and as such, policies need to be implemented to improve access to farm credit and avail farmers additional farm capital required to acquire farm assets; and particularly for the adoption of agricultural innovations that improves farm productivity. Its availability could determine the extent of production capacity; farm credit access provides the farmers with the means of expanding and improving their agricultural activities. This result conforms to (Adesope et al., 2012) who posited a similar outcome in a study on production technology determinants.

Extension contact: Extension contact coefficient (-0.297) was significant and negative at 5% (p<0.05)

level, suggesting a reversed relationship with the probability of the farmers adopting any option of the ROPPs. Thus, strategies should be adopted to increase contact with extension services for farmers, thereby improving exchange of agricultural information and technology transfer that boosts the yield levels per farm unit (World-Food-Prize, 2022). Membership of cooperative: The coefficient of membership of cooperative (-0.457) was significant and negative at 5% (p<0.05) probability level, suggesting a reversed relationship with the probability of the farmers adopting any option of the ROPPs; hence, farm cooperatives avails its members opportunities for exchange of agricultural information on modern innovations and updates on current trends in agricultural production. This result is in line with Onuwa and Folorunsho (2022) and Maiangwa (2008) who also reported a similar outcome on factors affecting the adoption of agricultural innovations. Thus, an improvement of these negative factors ceteris paribus; increases the respondent's likelihood to adopt more ROPPs,

required to boost their farm output.

Table 3: Factors affecting the Adoption of ROPPs

Variable	Coefficient	Standard error	T-ratio	
Constant	0.788	0.312	2.53**	
Gender (X_1)	0.486	0.432	1.125 ^{n.s}	
HH size (X_2)	0.431	0.172	2.506**	
Experience (X ₃)	0.261	0.101	2.584**	
Education (X ₄)	0.524	0.195	2.687**	
Credit access (X ₅)	-0.358	0.13	-2.754**	
Extension (X ₆)	-0.297	0.174	-2.626**	
Cooperative (X_7)	-0.457	0.118	-2.517**	
Log-likelihood(X ²)	0.0051**			
Pseudo R ²	0.7482			

Source: Field survey (2020); ** = significant at 5% (p<0.05), ".s = not significant

Adoption Constraints of Recommended On-Farm Production Practices

Table 4 shows the barriers encountered by farmers in adopting ROPPs in the study area. These barriers to technology adoption among smallholders include inadequate capital (91%), technology cost (83%), low farm income (78%), poor input supply (66%), inadequate extension service's (52%), poor credit access (41%), inadequate technical expertise (39%) and poor infrastructural facilities (23%). These

factors resulted to limited adoption of the recommended on-farm production practices by the respondents. This conforms to Mailumo and Onuwa (2022) who posited similar constraints in studies on agricultural technology adoption. Similarly, Onuwa et al. (2021) and Kudi et al. (2008) stated that Nigeria's agricultural sector was significantly affected by poor technology adoption, inadequate input supply, poor extension services, expensive and complex technologies.

Table 4: Distribution according to Barriers Encountered in the Adoption of the ROPPs

	Constraints	Frequency*	%
1.	Inadequate capital	91	91
2.	Technology cost	83	83

Volume 26(2): 6675-6682 2023

3.	Low farm income	78	78	
4.	Poor input supply	66	66	
5.	Inadequate extension service's	52	52	
6.	Poor credit access	41	41	
7.	Inadequate technical expertise	39	39	
8.	Poor infrastructural facilities	23	23	

Source: Field Survey (2020); *Multiple Response

Conclusion

This study examined the factors affecting the adoption of ROPPs among onion farmers in Dambatta, Kano State, Nigeria. The demographic factors of the farmers affected the adoption index for the ROPPs. Despite the different types of ROPPs available to the respondents, a low index of adoption index was reported; with inverse effects on the quantity of farm yield. Additionally, the factors in the regression model had significant effects on the index of adoption of recommended on-farm production practices. Based on the above, this study recommends improved access and adequate supply of modern agricultural innovations, farm credit and capital; to facilitate the adoption of more ROPPs; policy development and implementation that subsidizes adoption cost of ROPPs; Further, improving extension services by establishing agricultural contact offices that facilitates the exchange of information on agricultural innovations. Moreover, policy formulation that ensures higher remunerative farm income and provides technical support for sustainable production is pertinent. Provision of infrastructural facilities is very vital. Additionally, cooperative formation, adequate supply of agricultural labor and modification of land policies, facilitates technology intensification for agricultural sustainability and the scaling-up of agricultural land for commercialization purposes.

References

Adesope, O.M, Mathews-Njoku, E.C, Oguzor, N.S, Ugwuja, V.C. (2012). Effect of Socio-Economic Characteristic of Farmers on their Adoption of Organic Farming Practices, Crop Production Technologies, In: Peeyush Sharma (Ed.), Intech: 211-220. Available At: Http://Www.Intechopen.Com/Books/Crop-Production-Technologies/Effect-

Afolabi, J.A. (2008). Economics of Fadama Farming in Ondo State Nigeria. Paper presented at the 4th annual conference on Agriculture in Nigeria Wetlands in Federal University of Technology, Akure: 94-95.

Ajayi PZ, Odoul OC, Lagunya, A. (2008). Socio-economic factors influencing adoption of

improved fallow practices among small holder farms. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(8): 818-823

Alamanjo, C.C., and Onuwa, G.C. (2023). Assessment of Protected Crop Production Systems.

Proceedings of the 2nd International Scientific and Practical Internet Conference (Scientific Research and Innovation), Dnipro, Ukraine (April 3-4, 2023), Editorial board of International Electronic Scientific and Practical Journal (ed.), 4-6. ISBN: 978-617-8293-03-1. Published by WayScience.

Bawa, D.B., and Ani, A.O. (2014). Analysis of adoption of improved maize production

technology among farmers in Southern Borno, Nigeria. *Research on Humanities and Social Science*, 4(2): 43-54.

FAOSTAT. (2022). Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Data Base [http://faostat.fao.org/] site visited on 01/11/2023.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2021). https://www.fao.org/3/y5609e/y5609e01.ht ml. Retrieved 30th October, 2021

Food and Agriculture Organization, (FAO). (2016). Food Production Dataset, the United Nations. Available on http://faostat3.fao.org accessed on May20, 2016.

GAIN (2020). Grain and Feed Update – Nigeria.

Report by Global Agricultural Research
Network (GAIN), Foreign Agriculture
ACTA AGRICULTURAE
SCANDINAVICA, SECTION B — SOIL
& PLANT SCIENCE 671 Service, United
State Department for Agriculture.
https://apps.fas.usda.gov/newgainapi/api/Re
port/DownloadReportByFileName?fileNam
e = Grain%20and%20Feed%20Update_
Lagos_Nigeria_09-16-2020.

Gujarat DN. (2004). *Basic Econometrics*, fourth edition. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi, India.

Komolafe SE, Adeseji GB, Ajibola BO. (2010).

Determination of adoption of improved crop practices among women farmers in Ekiti East LGA, Ekiti State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(2): 22-33.

Kudi, T.M., Banta, A.I., Akpoko, J.G. and Waynet, D. (2008). Economic Analysis of Garlic Production in Bebeji Local Government Area of Kano State, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Science*, 1 (1): 22-35.

Maiangwa NG. (2008). Adoption of mixed cropping by farmers in the North Western Zone of Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agricultural Research*, 3(1): 25-30

- Mailumo, S.S. and Onuwa, G.C. (2022). Adoption Index of Recommended Onion Production Practices (ROPP) and Correlation of Multivariate Factors among Smallholder Farmers. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology (TURJAF)*, 10(sp.2): 2926-2930. DOI: https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v10isp2.2926-2930.5619.
- Mailumo, S.S and Onuwa, G.C. (2017). Post-Harvest Handling and Processing Module. In: Mailumo, S.S (ed.), Agriprenuer Training Manual for Graduate Unemployed Youths and Women Support (GUYS), FADAMA III-AF, FMARD (FCF, Jos-Centre): 76-100.
- Matata PZ, Ajayi OC, Odoul PA, Agumya A. (2010). Socio-economic factors influencing adoption of improved fallow practices among small holder farms in Western Tanzania. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(8): 818-823.
- National Agricultural Extension Research and Liaison Services (NAERLS) and Federal Department of Agricultural Extension (FDAE) (2014). Agricultural Performance Survey of 2014 Wet season in Nigeria. Executive Summary Report, pp.23
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2022).

 Socioeconomic Survey on Nigeria. 1st
 Quarter Report, Abuja.
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2021).

 Demographic statistics bulletin, Federal Republic of Nigeria. Vol 3, www.nigerianstat.gov.ng,.accessed 21 February 2022
- Nisar, A.S., Ikram, S., Afzal, M. and Arshad, F. (2011). Onion Production Potential, Limitations and its' Prospects for Improvement in the Farming System of Punjab, Pakistan. Agricultural Science Research Journal, 1 (9):202-212
- Ojo, M.A., Mohammed, U.S., Adeniji, B., and Ojo, A.O. (2009). Profitability and Technical
 - Efficiency in Irrigated Onion Production under Middle Rima Valley Project in Goronyo, Sokoto State, Nigeria. *Continental Journal of Agricultural Science*, 3 (1):7-14.
- Onuwa, G.C., Mailumo, S.S., and Oyewole, S.O. (2023). Socio-economic Determinants of
 - Adoption of Maize Production Technologies among Smallholders. *Agriekonomika*, 12 (1): 83-94. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2107/agriekonomika.v12i 1.14621.
- Onuwa, G.C., and Adedire, O. (2023). Index of Soybean Technology Adoption and Multivariate

 Correlations in Smallholder Systems. *Big Data in Agriculture (BDA), 5 (1):22-25*.

 DOI:

 https://doi.org/10.26480/bda.01.2023.22.25.

- Onuwa, G.C., and Folorunsho, S. (2022).

 Determinants of Tomato Farmers Participation in

 Agricultural Services and Training Centre

 (ASTC) Activities, Turkish Journal of

 Agriculture-Food Science and Technology

 (TURJAF), 10(8): 1369-1376,

 DOI:
 - https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v10i8.1369-1376.4905
- Onuwa, G.C., Chizea, C.I., Onemayin, J.J., Abalaka, E.A., Idris, S.R., and Ebong, A.C. (2022).
 - Adoption Index of Maize Production Technologies and Correlation Matrix in Smallholder Systems. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (ICAAT-2022) (Gateway to Food Security in Africa), School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology (SAAT), Federal University of Minna (FUT-Minna) (Caverton Hall), Alabi, O.J., Akande, K.E., Out, B.O., Adeniran, O.A., Muhammad, H.U., et al. (eds.) 9-14. Published by SAAT, FUT-Minna
- Onuwa, G.C. (2021). Fostering Sustainable Productivity through Maize Technology
 - Intensification-Participant Responses. In: Sengar, R.S., Vallabhbhai, S., Chaudhary, R., Vallabhbhai, S and Bhadauriya, H.S., (Eds.), Handbook of Research on Green Technologies for Sustainable Management of Agricultural Resources. IGI-Global (701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Hersey, PA 17033, USA), 427-436. Available at https://www.igi-
 - global.com/chapter/fostering-sustainable-productivity-through-maize-technology-intensification/303714
- Onuwa, G.C., Mailumo, S.S., and Adepoju, A.O. (2021). Boosting Farm Productivity through Intensification of Soybean Production Technology. *International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Research*, 8 (1): 61-70.
- Sabo E, Dia YZ. (2009). Awareness and Effectiveness of Vegetable Technology Packages by Vegetable Farmers in Adamawa State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 4(2): 65-70.
- Saka JO, Lawal BO. (2009). Determinants of adoption and productivity of improved rice varieties in South-western Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 8 (1): 23-32.
- Wikipedia (2022). Available from: http://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Dambatta_Kano_Nigeria. Retrieved 15th October 2022
- World-food-prize (2022). https://www.worldfoodprize.org/index.cfm /88533/18098/. Retrieved 30th October, 2022.